FILE COPY

Office-Supreme Court, U.S.
FILED
JUL 6 1966
JOHN F. DAVIS, CLERK

IN THE

# Supreme Court of the United States

**OCTOBER TERM, 1965**

No. 1250

IRMA GROSSMAN and DAVID GROSSMAN,
*Petitioners,*

*v.*

BERNARD PEARLMAN, ALAN KRUMHOLZ and MORRIS F. PEARLMAN,
*Respondents.*

## PETITION FOR REHEARING

IRMA GROSSMAN
pro se
287 Avenue C
New York, New York

IN THE

# Supreme Court of the United States

**OCTOBER TERM, 1965**

No. 1250

IRMA GROSSMAN and DAVID GROSSMAN,
*Petitioners,*

*v.*

BERNARD PEARLMAN, ALAN KRUMHOLZ and MORRIS F. PEARLMAN,
*Respondents.*

## PETITION FOR REHEARING

Petitioners pray that this Court grant rehearing of its order of June 13, 1966 denying the petition for writ of certiorari on the following grounds:

1. Article III of the United States Constitution clearly provides a Supreme Court of the United States. This was meant to be a court of last resort not a court for "discretionary" hearing. We, as many other citizens who apply to this court expect to resolve our legal matters through "due process of law". If only those cases which receive publicity such as the "Sheppard case" and civil rights matters which is "the thing" today are heard then there is something drastically wrong.

If the highest court is inadequate to handle and hear the main part of the briefs that are put before it then the

President of the U. S. and the people should be informed of this. As the court continually receives a larger volume of case loads it is inconceivable that nine men can honestly do anything but pick the cases which are to be heard by "what's news" instead of "what's just". If any member of the court working under the volume of today's court honestly feels he is granting hearings to all the just cases then I should think that he ought to sit back and revalue all that he is undertaking.

I ask the court to review this cause as the contents as a whole are such that affects the "people" not the self chosen hierarchy of the country.

For all of these reasons this case should be heard.

Respectfully submitted

(s) Irma Grossman
287 Avenue C
New York, New York

Certificate of Counsel [redacted]

I hereby certify that this Petition for Rehearing is presented in good faith and not for delay and that the Petition is restricted to those grounds described in Rule 58 of this Honorable Court.

Irma Grossman, pro se